

# Treediagonal Matrices and Their Inverses

D. J. Klein

*Department of Marine Sciences  
Texas A & M University at Galveston  
Galveston, Texas 77553*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

---

## ABSTRACT

A generalization of tridiagonal matrices is considered, namely treediagonal matrices, which have nonzero off-diagonal elements only in positions where the adjacency matrix of a tree has nonzero elements. Some properties of treediagonal matrices are given, and their inverses are characterized and shown to have an interesting structure.

---

## 1. TREEDIAGONAL MATRICES

We recall a few elementary graph-theoretical terms (see, e.g., [1]). Let  $\Gamma$  denote a graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{V} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and edge set  $\mathcal{E}$ , which consists of (unordered) pairs of vertices. A subgraph of  $\Gamma$  is a graph with vertex and edge sets which are subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . A subgraph is a spanning subgraph of  $\Gamma$  if its vertex set is  $\mathcal{V}$  also. If  $\Gamma$  is connected and acyclic, then  $\Gamma$  is said to be a tree. Let  $v_i$  denote the valence (or degree) of a vertex  $i$ . Vertices of valence 1 are termed end vertices, and those of valence  $\geq 2$  are termed interior. The neighborhood of  $i \in \mathcal{V}$  is the set  $N(i) = \{j \in \mathcal{V}; \{i, j\} \in \mathcal{E}\}$ .

Throughout this paper we assume  $\Gamma$  is a tree. Further, we consider  $N$ -by- $N$  matrices whose rows, and columns, are labeled by the vertices of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We define a matrix  $A$  to be treediagonal (or more explicitly  $\Gamma$ -treediagonal) if the matrix elements  $A_{ij}$  of  $A$  are such that  $A_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\{i, j\} \notin \mathcal{E}$ . Such matrices and the associated inversion algorithms have already been studied [2-4]. Clearly, if  $\Gamma$  is a linear path, then  $\Gamma$  is equivalent via a simultaneous permutation of row and column indices to a tridiagonal matrix; indeed,  $A$  is explicitly tridiagonal if  $\mathcal{E} = \{\{i, i+1\}; i=1 \text{ to } N-1\}$ .

Treediagonal matrices have a number of properties and characteristics reminiscent of the more special tridiagonal matrices. Indeed, most (but not all) of the results we obtain are already known [5, 6] for the tridiagonal case. In this section our results involve especially simple extensions of properties of

tridiagonal matrices and are presented without detailed proof. The main results come in Sections 3 and 4 and are extensions of recent work by Barrett [6].

Since an  $N$ -vertex tree has  $N - 1$  edges (as is readily seen by an induction argument), one sees

**PROPOSITION 1.**  *$\Gamma$ -treediagonal matrices can have up to but no more than  $2(N - 1)$  nonzero off-diagonal elements.*

If  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} \in \mathcal{V}$ , then let  $\Gamma_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  denote the graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  on deleting the vertices  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  and their incident edges; further let  $\Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  denote the determinant obtained from  $A$  on deleting rows and columns  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ , and let  $\Delta$  denote  $\det A$ . Now using the familiar expansion of a determinant in minors (first along row  $i$  and then for the second term along column  $i$ ), one obtains

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $A$  is a treediagonal matrix with  $i$  an end vertex and  $j$  its neighbor, then*

$$\Delta = A_{ii}\Delta_{(i)} - A_{ij}A_{ji}\Delta_{(i,j)}$$

Here  $\Delta_{(i)}$  and  $\Delta_{(i,j)}$  are determinants for  $\Gamma_{(i)}$ - and  $\Gamma_{(i,j)}$ -treediagonal matrices, so that this proposition could be iterated. Indeed, it could be used to evaluate sequences of determinants  $\Delta_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)}$ ,  $m = 1$  to  $N - 1$ , with  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}$  each an end vertex after removing preceding vertices. Then just as in the well-known [3] Givens and Householder “matrix diagonalization” algorithms, the sign-change counting method for localizing eigenvalues of tridiagonal Hermitian matrices may be applied to Hermitian treediagonal matrices. Proposition 2 also leads to

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $A$  is a treediagonal matrix, then its determinant is given as*

$$\Delta = \sum_{\gamma \subset \Gamma} (-1)^{|\mathcal{E}^\gamma|} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}^\gamma} A_{ij}A_{ji} \prod_{\{k: v_k^\gamma = 0\}} A_{kk},$$

where the sum is over all spanning subgraphs  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that all vertices have valence 0 or 1. Also  $\mathcal{E}^\gamma$  is the edge set of  $\gamma$ ,  $|\mathcal{E}^\gamma|$  the number of edges in  $\mathcal{E}^\gamma$ , and  $v_k^\gamma$  the valence of the  $k$ th vertex in  $\gamma$ . (If either of the products in this equation is vacuous, the product is taken to be unity.)

Also a ready consequence of Propositions 2 and 3 is

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $A$  is a treediagonal matrix, then its permanent is given as*

$$\text{per } A = \sum_{\gamma \subset \Gamma} \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathfrak{E}^\gamma} A_{ij} A_{ji} \prod_{\{k: v_k^\gamma = 0\}} A_{kk} = \det \hat{A},$$

where the sum is as in Proposition 3 and  $\hat{A}$  is a matrix with

$$\hat{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} +A_{ij}, & i \geq j, \\ -A_{ij}, & i < j. \end{cases}$$

A finite constructive method for transforming a general square matrix to a given general  $\Gamma$ -treediagonal form does not yet seem to be known.

## 2. INVERSES OF TREEDIAGONAL MATRICES

For a given tree  $\Gamma$  let  $[i, j]$  denote the (unique) path from vertex  $i$  to  $j$ ; that is,

$$[i_1, i_n] = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n), \quad \text{where } \{i_a, i_{a+1}\} \in \mathfrak{E}, \quad a = 1 \text{ to } n - 1.$$

We say  $k \in [i_1, i_n]$  if  $k$  is one of these  $i_a$ . For a  $\Gamma$ -treediagonal matrix  $A$  with  $[i_1, i_n]$  as above, define

$$p[i_1, i_n] = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1, \\ \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} A_{i_a, i_{a+1}}, & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Further let  $||[i_1, i_n]|| = n - 1$  denote the length of  $[i_1, i_n]$ .

THEOREM 1. *If  $A$  is a nonsingular treediagonal matrix, then*

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{||[i, j]||} p[i, j] \Delta_{((i, j))} / \Delta.$$

Further, if  $\Gamma_{((i, j))}$  is disconnected, then  $\Delta_{((i, j))}$  factors, with each factor being the determinant of the matrix for the associated component of  $\Gamma_{((i, j))}$ .

*Proof.* We use the standard formula

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\Delta} \det A_{(j|i)},$$

where  $A_{(j|i)}$  denotes the matrix obtained on deleting the  $j$ th row and  $i$ th column of  $A$ . Consider the matrix  $A'$  which is the same as  $A$  except that its  $(j, i)$ th element is replaced by  $A'_{ji} = 1$ . Now

$$\det A' = \sum_{\pi} (-1)^{\pi} \prod_{k=1}^N A'_{k\pi(k)}$$

where the sum is over all  $N!$  permutations  $\pi$ ,  $(-1)^{\pi}$  is the parity of  $\pi$ , and  $\pi(k)$  is the image of  $k$  under  $\pi$ . Then since the determinant function involves sums over products with exactly one element from each row and column, we see that  $(-1)^{i+j} \det A_{(j|i)}$  is simply the portion of the  $\pi$ -sum above for which  $\pi(j) = i$ . For a  $\pi$  giving a nonzero contribution, each cycle in  $\pi$  must correspond to a cycle of nonzero elements in the graph  $\Gamma'$  of  $A'$ . Here  $\Gamma'$  includes  $\{i, j\}$  in its edge set, but is otherwise the same as  $\Gamma$ . For the  $\pi$  giving a nonzero contribution we then seek a path in  $\Gamma$  from  $i$  to  $j$ , and  $\pi$  must involve a cycle cyclically permuting the vertices of this path  $[i, j]$ . Since the path length is  $|[i, j]|$ , the parity of this cycle is  $(-1)^{|[i, j]|}$ . Hence

$$(-1)^{i+j} \det A_{(j|i)} = (-1)^{|[i, j]|} p[i, j] \sum_{\pi'} (-1)^{\pi'} \prod_{k \notin [i, j]} A_{k\pi'(k)},$$

where  $\pi'$  is restricted to permutations of vertices other than those in  $[i, j]$ . Since this  $\pi'$ -sum yields just  $\det A_{((i, j))}$ , the first part of the theorem is established. The second part of the theorem is seen on noting that the disjoint components of  $\Gamma_{((i, j))}$  correspond to disconnected blocks of the matrix obtained from  $A$  on deleting the rows and columns of  $[i, j]$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a treedagonal matrix with  $\Delta_{(\mathfrak{S})} \neq 0$ , for all  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{V}$  associated with a connected subtree of  $\Gamma$ . Define generalized continued fractions via the recurrence relations*

$$f_i = A_{ii} - \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{A_{ij} A_{ji}}{f_{(i)j}}$$

$$f_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)i} = A_{ii} - \sum_{j \in N(i), j \neq i_m} \frac{A_{ij} A_{ji}}{f_{(i_1, \dots, i_m, i)j}}, \quad m \geq 1,$$

where  $[i_1, i_m]$  is a path in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\{i_m, i\} \in \mathcal{E}$ , and where termination points are reached whenever the  $j$ -sum becomes vacuous. Then  $A^{-1}$  is given as

$$(A^{-1})_{ii} = 1/f_i,$$

$$(A^{-1})_{i_1 i_m} = (-1)^{m-1} p[i_1, i_m] \frac{1}{f_{i_1}} \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{f_{(i_1, \dots, i_a) i_{a+1}}}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

This may be proven using Theorem 1 and noting that the ratio  $\Delta/\Delta_{(i)}$  in place of  $f_i$  and the ratios  $\Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)}/\Delta_{(i_1, \dots, i_m, i)}$  in place of  $f_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) i}$  satisfy the same recurrence relations, as may be seen by expanding the numerators along row  $i$ . There is another proof [3] which follows earlier work [7] relating tridiagonal matrices, their inverses, and ordinary continued fractions. Further analogies between the generalized continued fractions of Theorem 2 and ordinary ones seem to be little developed.

### 3. TREEANGLE PROPERTY FOR MATRICES

For a given tree  $\Gamma$  an  $N$ -by- $N$  matrix  $R$  satisfies the treeangle (or more explicitly  $\Gamma$ -treeangle) property if for every  $i, j, k \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $k \in [i, j]$ ,

$$R_{ij} R_{kk} = R_{ik} R_{kj}.$$

If  $\Gamma$  is a path, this treeangle property, along with the requirement that  $R_{kk} \neq 0$  for interior vertices, yields Barrett's [2] triangle property.

If  $R$  satisfies the treeangle property and  $R_{ii} \neq 0$  for interior vertices, repetitive use of the treeangle property gives

$$R_{i_1 i_n} = R_{i_1 i_2} \prod_{a=2}^{n-1} \frac{R_{i_a i_{a+1}}}{R_{i_a i_a}}, \quad [i_1, i_n] = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n), \quad n \geq 3.$$

Note that  $R_{i_1 i_n}$  has a visual interpretation as a ratio of the product of the elements of  $R$  labeled by the (directed) edges of the path  $[i_1, i_n]$  to the product of the elements of  $R$  labeled by the interior vertices of the path. Hence all the elements of  $R$  are determined from a knowledge of the diagonal elements and those  $2(N-1)$  off-diagonal elements  $R_{ij}$  for which  $\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{E}$ .

In the following we utilize the definition

$$d_{ij} = R_{ii} R_{jj} - R_{ij} R_{ji}.$$

Further we let  $I$  denote the  $N$ -by- $N$  identity matrix,  $\nabla$  denote the determinant of  $R - xI$ , and for  $n = 1, \dots, N - 1$ ,  $\nabla_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)}$  denote the determinant of the matrix obtained from  $R - xI$  by deleting rows and columns  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $R$  satisfies the treeangle property and if  $i$  is an end vertex with  $R_{ji} \neq 0$  for the vertex  $j$  adjacent to  $i$ , then*

$$\nabla = \left\{ \frac{d_{ij}}{R_{jj}} - x - \frac{R_{ij}R_{ji}}{R_{jj}^2} x \right\} \nabla_{(i)} - \frac{R_{ij}R_{ji}}{R_{jj}^2} x^2 \nabla_{(i,j)}. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* We let  $R' = R - xI$  and expand by minors along the  $i$ th row:

$$\nabla = (R_{ii} - x) \nabla_{(i)} + \sum_{k \neq i} (-1)^{i+k} R_{ik} \det R'_{(i,k)}.$$

Now we use the treeangle property to replace  $R_{ik}$  by  $R_{ij}R_{jk}/R_{jj}$ , and then rearrange the equation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla = (R_{ii} - x) \nabla_{(i)} + \frac{R_{ij}}{R_{jj}} \left\{ -R_{ij} \det R'_{(i,i)} + (-1)^{i+i} x \det R'_{(i,i)} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_k (-1)^{i+k} R'_{jk} \det R'_{(i,k)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here the last  $k$ -sum is just the determinant of the matrix with row  $i$  replaced by row  $j$ , and hence gives zero. Next we expand

$$\det R'_{(i,j)} = \sum_{l \neq i} (-1)^{i+l+\delta} R_{li} \det R'_{(i,l,i)},$$

where  $R'_{(i,l,i)}$  denotes the matrix obtained from  $R'$  on deleting rows  $i, l$  and columns  $i, j$ . Further, here  $\delta = 0$  if  $l < i < j$  or  $l > i > j$ , and  $\delta = 1$  otherwise. Now we replace  $R_{li}$  by  $R_{lj}R_{ji}/R_{jj}$  and rearrange the equation to obtain

$$\det R'_{(i,j)} = \frac{R_{ji}}{R_{jj}} \left\{ (-1)^{i+i+1} x \det R'_{(i,j,i)} + \sum_{l \neq i} (-1)^{i+l+\delta} R'_{lj} \det R'_{(i,l,i)} \right\}.$$

Expanding  $\det R'_{(i,i)}$  by minors along the  $j$ th column, one sees that the last

$l$ -sum is just  $(-1)^{i+j+1} \det R'_{(ij)}$ . Substituting back into Equation (3.2) yields Equation (3.1).

Remarks similar to those following Proposition 2 apply. Further, if one specializes Theorem 3 to  $x=0$  and applies it in an iterative manner one obtains

**COROLLARY.** *If  $R$  satisfies the treeangle property with  $R_{kk} \neq 0$  for interior vertices  $k \in \mathcal{V}$ , then*

$$\det R = \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}} d_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^n R_{kk}^{1-v_k},$$

where  $R_{kk}^0$  is understood to always equal 1.

This result is given by Barrett [6] for the special case of the triangle property.

#### 4. TREEDIAGONALITY AND THE TREEANGLE PROPERTY

The interrelation between treediagonal and treeangle properties found in this section are again extensions of Barrett's work [6].

**THEOREM 4.** *If a nonsingular matrix  $R$  satisfies the treeangle property with  $R_{jj} \neq 0$  for interior vertices  $j \in \mathcal{V}$ , then the treediagonal matrix  $A$  with elements*

$$A_{ik} = \begin{cases} -R_{ik}/d_{ik}, & \{i,k\} \in \mathcal{E}, \\ R_{ij}/d_{ij}, & i=k, v_i=1, \{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}, \\ \left(1 + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{R_{ij}R_{ji}}{d_{ij}}\right) \frac{1}{R_{ii}}, & i=k, v_i \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the inverse to  $R$ .

*Proof.* First, the corollary to Theorem 3 implies that in order for  $R$  to be nonsingular all  $d_{ij}$  for  $\{i,j\} \in \mathcal{E}$  must be nonzero. Then the matrix is well defined, and we proceed to show it is the inverse of  $R$ . For  $v_i=1$  and

$\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$1 + \frac{R_{ij}R_{ji}}{d_{ij}} = \frac{R_{ii}R_{jj}}{d_{ij}} = R_{ii}A_{ii},$$

so that for all  $i$

$$R_{ii}A_{ii} = 1 + \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{R_{ij}R_{ji}}{d_{ij}}.$$

It follows that

$$(RA)_{ii} = R_{ii}A_{ii} + \sum_{j \in N(i)} R_{ij} \left( -\frac{R_{ji}}{d_{ij}} \right) = R_{ii}A_{ii} - (R_{ii}A_{ii} - 1) = 1.$$

Next if  $i \neq k$ , and  $j \in [i, k]$  is such that  $\{j, k\} \in \mathcal{S}$ , then

$$(RA)_{ik} = R_{ik}A_{kk} + R_{ij} \left( -\frac{R_{jk}}{d_{jk}} \right) + \sum_{l \in N(k), l \neq j} R_{il} \left( -\frac{R_{lk}}{d_{lk}} \right).$$

If the  $l$ -sum here is vacuous, then  $v_k = 1$  and

$$(RA)_{ik} = R_{ik} \frac{R_{ji}}{d_{jk}} - R_{ij} \frac{R_{jk}}{d_{jk}} = 0,$$

whereas if the  $l$ -sum is not vacuous, then  $R_{kk} \neq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (RA)_{ik} &= R_{ik}A_{kk} - R_{ik} \frac{R_{ji}}{d_{jk}} - \sum_{l \in N(k), l \neq j} \frac{R_{il}R_{kl}}{R_{kk}} \frac{R_{lk}}{d_{lk}} \\ &= R_{ik} \left\{ A_{kk} - \frac{1}{R_{kk}} \left( 1 + \sum_{l \in N(k)} \frac{R_{kl}R_{lk}}{d_{lk}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $RA$  is the identity matrix and the theorem is established. ■

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $A$  is a nonsingular treediagonal matrix, then its inverse satisfies the treeangle property.*



*Proof.* We utilize the formula and results of Theorem 1 for  $(A^{-1})_{ij}$ . Now  $\Delta_{\{(i,j)\}}$  is the product of the determinants associated with the separate disconnected components of  $\Gamma_{\{(i,j)\}}$ . Thus for  $j \in [i, k]$

$$\Delta_{\{(i,j)\}} \Delta_{\{(j,k)\}} = \Delta_{\{(i,k)\}} \Delta_{\{(i)\}},$$

since the different disconnected components of the various graphs on the left and right-hand sides of this equation are the same. Further one easily verifies

$$p[i, j] p[j, k] = p[i, k] p[j, j]$$

and a similar relation involving parities. Hence using the formula of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} (A^{-1})_{jk} = (A^{-1})_{ik} (A^{-1})_{jj},$$

the desired result. ■

#### REFERENCES

- 1 F. Harary, *Graph Theory* (Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., 1972).
- 2 S. Parter, The use of graphs in Gauss elimination, *SIAM Rev.* 3:119–130 (1961).
- 3 D. J. Klein and M. A. Garcia-Bach, Variational localized-site cluster expansions II. Trees and near-trees, *J. Chem. Phys.* 64:4873–4877 (1976).
- 4 J. Alan George, Solution of linear systems of equations: Direct methods for finite element problems, in *Sparse Matrix Techniques*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 572 (V. A. Barker, Ed.), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 52–100.
- 5 J. H. Wilkinson, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Oxford U.P., London, 1965.
- 6 W. W. Barrett, A theorem on the inverses of tridiagonal matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 27:211–217 (1979).
- 7 H. S. Wall, *Continued Fractions*, Chelsea, New York, 1967, Chapter XII.

*Received 19 December 1980; revised 4 May 1981*